

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,787	06/19/2003	David Cappers Steere	50037.185US01	5952
	7590 12/11/2007 & GOULD (MICROSOFT	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2903	3	ANYA, CHARLES E		
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2194	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/600,787	STEERE ET AL.	STEERE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Charles E. Anya	2194			

	Charles E. Anya	2194	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION I	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ng date of the final reject	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply ori er than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or	• •	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.	* **		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	·	·	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected to <u>none</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under appo	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	ned.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. Other:	,		
	1 -1	1	
<u>.</u>	SUPERVISOR FOR	ONSON THE POLICY NEED	
	COLUMN COLOR		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed amendment would not be entered because it was not previously presented. Specifically, "and the object related event is associated with an edit of the original object" was not previously presented and may require further consideration and/or search, as a result would not be entered.